Property Investment Strategy - Risk Analysis

The Property Investment Strategy risks are detailed below. The impact and likelihood of each risk are first assessed gross (without existing controls in place) and then re-assessed following the identification of key controls (net). The net ratings are shown in the following table:

| | | | Net Risk | Ratings | | |
|------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Very Likely (5) | | | | | |
| | Likely (4) | | | | | |
| Likelihood | Possible (3) | | | 15 | | 6 |
| _ | Unlikely (2) | | | 4,9 | 1 | 11 |
| | Very Unlikely (1) | 13 | 3 | | 2a | 2b,5,7,8,10,12,14 |
| | | Minimal (1) | Minor (2) | Moderate (3) | Major (4) | Critical (5) |
| | | | | Impact | | |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--|---|---------------------|---------|-----------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Property Investment Strategy Lead Officer: Adrian Rowboth | | portuniti | es to i | neet | the Property Investmen | t Strateg | у | | | | |
| 1) Downturn in property market | Poor Return on Investment (ROI) on selling/rental | 5 | 4 | 20 | Contracts to have rent review, break clauses etc. Investments are credit secure and can be retained through any market downturn. No requirement by SDC to liquidate investments in medium term. No requirement from SDC to minimise or contain reported mark to market variability | 5 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 4 | 8 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | | | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------|---|---|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2) a. Poor quality construction/management | Repairs Defects remedial work, customer dissatisfaction loss of reputation legal action additional costs not built into financial plan | 1 | 5 | 5 | Robust contracting process. Pre-purchase surveys High quality spec Quality assurance clauses Warranties Procurement processes Clauses for liquidated damages Build relationships with contractors - understand their quality ethos Do not work with contractors who have a record issues or no track record | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 4 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| b. Poor quality construction/management | • Risks to personal health and safety - defects, gas, electricity, legionella, etc. | 2 | 5 | 10 | Ensure contractor has sufficient covenant to stand behind their commitments Property Investment Strategy Maintenance Reserve Surveys; risk assessment techniques; CDM (Construction, Design & Mgt Regs); using registered suppliers and installers | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 3) Possibility of challenge re: unlawful subsidy | • Legal challenge to Quercus 7. | 2 | 2 | 4 | • Full cost recovery. | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--|---|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | Loans obtained at commercial lending rates Charging Directors and others' time to the Company. Legal due diligence pre contractual commitment | | | | | | |
| 4) Inability to attract and retain suitable purchasers/tenants | Poor ROI void periods loss of rental income | 3 | 4 | 12 | Demand for residential property remains high. Taking up references Early engagement with potential buyers/tenants Quality product to attract purchasers/tenants Standby working capital facility to | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 6 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------|----|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | support downturn in market for tenants i.e. finance voids or rent shortfalls | | | | | | |
| 5) Failure to fully assess sites and conditions | Defects remedial action costs failure to attract purchasers/tenants void periods poor ROI | 2 | 5 | 10 | Robust appraisals and surveys to be undertaken before progressing. Pre-application planning advice. Knowledge of location/market Extensive due diligence process. | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 6) Insufficient financial resources to progress projects | Cannot close deals because of inability to achieve purchase price Lack of progress in the market | 4 | 5 | 20 | Borrowing permissions in place (note PWLB restrictions). Investment strategy in place. Sound business case/plan. | 3 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 15 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|---|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | Due diligence exercises, Develop alternatives to SDC funding for Quercus 7 | | | | | | |
| 7) Insufficient resources, capacity, skills to plan and manage projects | Inability to close deals as insufficient due diligence Loss of reputation | 3 | 5 | 15 | Procurement of specialist resources not available inhouse. Appointment of staff with adequate skills for purpose. | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 8) Inability to secure development opportunities to cover overheads and develop profits | Quercus 7 loss making company Business plan not executed Shareholder dissatisfaction | 4 | 5 | 10 | Continue to develop pipeline of opportunities. Links with agents. Proactive approach to identify opportunities. | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|---|---|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Dissolution of company | | | | Procurement of sufficient resources. Divert development resources to management responsibilities during prolonged downturn? | | | | | | |
| 9) Increase in voids/and void turn-around time/re-let times | Income from rent is reduced and cash flow compromised | 3 | 3 | 9 | Employment of experienced agents to manage lettings. Sale of property an option. Reconsideration of operating model Standby working capital facility to support downturn in market for | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 6 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | tenants i.e. finance voids or rent shortfalls Option of selling assets to provide working capital bridge | | | | | | |
| 10) Purchase not supported by red book valuation | Unable to secure purchase | 4 | 5 | 20 | Red book valuation obtained prior to offer. | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 11) Financial risks | Rents not achieved Values reduce Property market falls Operational costs higher than budget Defects arising that affect let ability / income | 3 | 5 | 15 | Due Diligence measures Pre purchase surveys | 2 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 10 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Impact | Gross Rating | Internal Controls | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|---|--|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 12) Failure to comply with taxation issues, Corporation tax and VAT | Legal challenges | 2 | 5 | 10 | Internal and/or external advice sought in relation to taxation to ensure compliance. | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 13) Implications of Residential Property Developers Tax (RDPT) | Requirement to pay RDPT on a scheme where either Q7 or a JV partner profit brings this into scope. | 1 | 1 | 1 | Carry out financial modelling based on proposed scheme and the relation to profits of Q7 and/or any JV partner | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 14) Poor management of property | Risk to tenants Health and Safety Defects, gas, electricity etc. | 2 | 5 | 10 | Engage experienced and qualified management agents | 1 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| 15) Impact of COVID-19 - Increase in voids/market changes/bad debts | Income from rent is reduced and cash flow compromised. | 4 | 3 | 12 | Employment of experienced agents to manage lettings. | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 9 |

| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | Gross Likelihood | Gross Rating | Internal (ontrole | Net Likelihood | Net Impact | Net Rating | Target Likelihood | Target Impact | Target Rating |
|--------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | | | Sale of property an option.Reconsideration of operating model | | | | | | |

Strategic Risk Register Item - September 2021

| | | G | iross Scoi | re | | ١ | let Scoi | e e | Ta | rget Sc | ore |
|--|---|---|------------|----------|--|-------|----------|--------|-----|---------|-----|
| Risk Factors | Potential Effect | L | 1 | R | Internal Controls | L | 1 | R | L | 1 | R |
| SR02: Property Investment Lead Officer: Adrian Rowbo | | | у орроі | rtunitie | rs to meet the Property I | nvest | ment | Strate | egy | | |
| Reduced ability to borrow funds including the effect of government legislation changes Lack of ability to seek appropriate investment opportunities Inappropriate appetite for risk within investment strategy to enable the Council to generate target returns Lack of ability to deliver sufficient funds to maximise | Inability to invest due to funding restrictions Lack of diversity in investments Inability to find investments that meet the return criteria Inability to deliver a balanced budget (SR01) | 4 | 4 | 16 | Council approved Property Investment Strategy, with defined rates of return demonstrating risk appetite Diversified portfolio to spread risk Governance arrangements defined with appropriate delegations agreed | 4 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 9 |

| Risk Factors | | Gross Score | | | | Net Score | | | Target Score | | |
|---|---|-------------|---|---|--|-----------|---|---|--------------|---|---|
| | Potential Effect | L | 1 | R | Internal Controls | L | I | R | L | 1 | R |
| the opportunities presented through the Property Investment Strategy Prohibitive cost of interest payments Lack of capacity or skilled professionals to advise on investment and borrowing strategies Ineffective governance processes that could result in opportunities being missed or being ineffectively scrutinised Ineffective use of Quercus 7 to support the Council's investment strategy Covid-19 / Economic conditions - inability to find or retain tenants, collect lease or rental income, reduction in asset values (systemic risk) | Negative impact on budgets, reserves and the ability to deliver Council projects Poor financial health Reputational damage Poor outcome for the Audit of Accounts or Value for Money assessment and potential for increased intervention | | | | Qualified and experienced officers in post Professional, external advisers engaged to support the development of strategies and fill skills gaps Realistic income budget set based on current and projected investments Financial monitoring processes embedded Effective financial governance including reports to FIAC, Cabinet and Scrutiny Committee Regular Quercus 7 Board and Trading Board meetings | | | | | | |

Actions

Identify alternative external funding sources and keep up to date with government legislation

Available Assurance

Internal Audit of Property Investment Strategy in progress

Ad hoc external consultants provide reports on state of the property markets

Annual property investment valuation carried out by independent consultant, in line with CIPFA and RICS standards